

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

GREG DAVIS, AS NEXT FRIEND \*  
AND NATURAL GUARDIAN OF \*  
JOSHUA DAVIS \*

PLAINTIFF, \*

vs. \*

HOUSTON COUNTY, ALABAMA \*  
BOARD OF EDUCATION \*

DEFENDANT. \*

CASE NO.1:06-CV-953-MEF

ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF S COMPLAINT

The Defendant, Houston County Board of Education  
HCBOE, answers the Plaintiff s Complaint and asserts  
various affirmative defenses as follows:

\_\_\_\_1. Admitted.

2. The Defendant admits the jurisdictional claims in  
Paragraph 2 of the Complaint.

3. The Defendant admits that the Middle District of  
Alabama is proper venue for this case but denies all other  
allegations in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

4. The Defendant is without sufficient information to  
form a belief as to all of the claims in Paragraph 4 of the  
Complaint.

5. The Defendant admits it is an agent or political subdivision of the State of Alabama charged with all aspects of the administration of the public schools of Houston County, Alabama. Defendant denies it is obligated to provide Plaintiff's child a free education because the child's misconduct in physically assaulting coaches during a game led to him being expelled by the Board under the Code of Student Conduct of the Houston County Board of Education.

6. The Defendant is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of Paragraph 6 of the Complaint.

7. The Defendant is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of Paragraph 7 of the Complaint.

8. The Defendant admits that Joshua was participating in a Varsity football game in September of 2005, but is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 8.

9. The Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint.

10. Admitted.

11. The Defendant admits that Joshua was expelled from

the County System, but denies all other allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint.

12. The Defendant is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of Paragraph 12 of the Complaint.

13. The Defendant is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of Paragraph 13 of the Complaint.

14. The Defendant denies all of the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint.

15. The Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint.

16. The Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint.

17. The Defendant is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of Paragraph 17 of the Complaint.

18. The Defendant denies that Joshua is entitled to any compensation, attorney's fees, or costs for this action as alleged in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint.

1<sup>st</sup> Defense

Defendant pleads the general issue, denies that it is guilty of the things and matters alleged, and demands strict proof of all allegations and inferences contained in the Complaint.

2<sup>nd</sup> Defense

Defendant denies that it has violated any of Joshua's Constitutional rights and demands strict proof.

3<sup>rd</sup> Defense

Defendant moves to dismiss the Complaint because he fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Specifically:

a. The Plaintiff fails to aver how Joshua was treated differently from any other similarly situated student.

b. The Defendant provided Joshua with a sufficient notice and a sufficient hearing under *Dixon v. Alabama State Board of Education*, 294 F.2d 150 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1961). The Eleventh Circuit adopted the holdings of the Fifth Circuit as that Court existed on September, 30, 1981, as binding precedent on this circuit and the district courts in this circuit. *Bonner v. City of Prichard*, 661 F.2d 1206, 1207 (1981). Joshua, his father, and his attorney attended a

hearing concerning Joshua's expulsion and presented their case before the Defendant made its decision to expel Joshua. Joshua received all due process protection to which he was entitled.

c. All action taken by the Defendant was rationally related to further a legitimate governmental purpose.

4<sup>th</sup> Defense

The Defendant pleads the applicable Statutes of Limitations.

5<sup>th</sup> Defense

\_\_\_\_The Defendant reserves the right to amend this pleading, and to add other defenses, should their appropriateness become apparent during discovery.

HARDWICK, HAUSE, SEGREST & WALDING

BY: /s/ Jere C. Segrest  
Jere C. Segrest (SEG005)  
ASB-1759-S-80J  
Attorney for Defendants  
Post Office Box 1469  
Dothan, Alabama 36302  
Phone: (334) 794-4144  
Fax: (334) 671-9330

BY: /s/ Kevin Walding  
Kevin Walding (WAL036)  
ASB-8121-I-69J  
Attorney for Defendants  
Post Office Box 1469  
Dothan, Alabama 36302  
Phone: (334) 794-4144  
Fax: (334) 671-9330

BY: /s/ Patrick B. Moody  
Patrick B. Moody (MOO110)  
ASB-0905-T-73M  
Attorney for Defendants  
Post Office Box 1469  
Dothan, Alabama 36302  
Phone: (334) 794-4144  
Fax: (334) 671-9330

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, this date, I have served a copy of this document on the following individual(s) or attorney(s) of record by placing a copy in the United States Mail in a properly addressed envelope with adequate postage.

Malcolm R. Newman, Esq.  
Attorney at Law  
Post Office Box 6137  
Dothan, Alabama 36302

This the 15<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2006.

/s/ Jere C. Segrest  
Of Counsel